28

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

## I. INTRODUCTION

On February 5, 2025, undersigned counsel filed a Motion to Quash the subpoena (ECF 169). As stated in the motion, a primary need to file the motion was as due to the fact that although a phone call occurred between undersigned counsel and Plaintiff's counsel, "... no actual substantive modification was made to the requested documents or the due date." ECF 169 at p.3 lns.3,4.

Since the filing of that motion, the above-mentioned counsel have spoken numerous times to discuss the issues raised in the motion. Plaintiff's counsel has provided clarification and assurances concerning the subpoena.

As such, the motion is no longer necessary at this time and is hereby withdrawn.

## II. CONCLUSION

Based on discussions between counsel, the Motion to Quash is withdrawn.

Dated this 12th day of February, 2025.

AARON D. FORD Attorney General

By: /s/ Matthew P. Feeley
MATTHEW FEELEY (Bar No. 13336)
Deputy Attorney General

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I am an employee of the State of Nevada, Office of the Attorney General, and that on February 12, 2025, I electronically filed the foregoing NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION TO QUASH PLAINTIFF'S SUBPOENA TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS, INFORMATION, OR OBJECTS OR TO PERMIT INSPECTION OF PREMISES IN A CIVIL ACTION via this Court's electronic filing system. Parties who are registered with this Court's electronic filing system will be served electronically.

/s/ Danielle Wright

Danielle Wright, an employee of the Office of the Nevada Attorney General